UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of New Mexico

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

Judgment in a Criminal Case (For **Revocation** of Probation or Supervised Release)

Juan Alberto Moreno-Oviedo

Case Number: 1:13CR2838-001JB

USM Number: 73568-051

Defense Attorney: Devon Fooks, Appointed

THE DEFENDANT:	
admitted guilt to violations of condition(s) Manda was found in violation of condition(s) after denia	*
The defendant is adjudicated guilty of these violations:	
Violation Nature of Violation Number	Violation Ended
Mandatory The defendant committed another fede Condition	eral, state or local crime. 06/25/2014
The defendant is sentenced as provided in pages 1 throu Reform Act of 1984.	gh 3 of this judgment. The sentence is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing
☐ The defendant has not violated condition(s) and i	s discharged as to such violation(s).
name, residence, or mailing address until all fines, restit	otify the United States attorney for this district within 30 days of any change of ution, costs, and special assessments imposed by this judgment are fully paid. I court and United States attorney of material changes in economic circumstances
None	November 19, 2014
Last Four Digits of Defendant's Soc. Sec. No.	
	Date of Imposition of Judgment
1985	/s/ James O. Browning
1985 Defendant's Year of Birth	
	/s/ James O. Browning
Defendant's Year of Birth	/s/ James O. Browning Signature of Judge Honorable James O. Browning
Defendant's Year of Birth Albuquerque, NM	/s/ James O. Browning Signature of Judge Honorable James O. Browning United States District Judge

Case 1:13-cr-02838-JB Document 41 Filed 11/21/14 Page 2 of 3

AO 245D (Rev. 12/10) Judgment in a Criminal Case for Revocations Sheet 2 Imprisonment

Judgment Page 2 of 3

Defendant: Juan Alberto Moreno-Oviedo Case Number: 1:13CR2838-001.JB

IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of 6 months.

5 months of said term shall run concurrently and 1 month of said term shall run consecutively to the sentence imposed in 1:14CR02840-001JB.

A term of supervised release is not reimposed.

Although advisory, the Court has considered the Guidelines and, in arriving at its sentence, has taken account of the Guidelines with other sentencing goals. Specifically, the Court has considered the Guidelines' sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of Defendant. The Court believes that the Guidelines` punishment is appropriate for this sort of offense. Therefore, the sentence in this judgment is consistent with a guideline sentence. The Court has considered the kind of sentence and range established by the Guidelines. The Court believes that a sentence of 6 months reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, provides just punishment, affords adequate deterrence, protects the public, avoids unwarranted sentencing disparities among similarly situated defendants, effectively provides the Defendant with needed education or vocational training and medical care, and otherwise fully reflects each of the factors embodied in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a). The Court also believes the sentence is reasonable. The Court believes the sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act.

	The court makes these recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:
	The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district: at on as notified by the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons: before 2 p.m. on as notified by the United States Marshal as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office.
	RETURN
I have	executed this judgment as follows:
Defer	adant delivered ontotothe a certified copy of this judgment.

By

DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL